

American Studies 370/History 350R/Mexican American Studies 374
Spring 2011
Race and Citizenship in U.S. History
T, 5-8 p.m., 103 Parlin

Professor Martínez
office: 3.214 Garrison
phone: 475-7268

office hours: W, 1-3 and by appt
e-mail: ammtz@mail.utexas.edu
The best way to reach me is by e-mail.

Course Description

Race has been key in defining citizenship since the founding of the United States of America. From the earliest treaties with Indians, the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo, and the 14th Amendment, to the Jones Act, Japanese internment and the aftermath of Hurricane Katrina, race has outweighed citizenship in determining the rights of individuals in this country. In this course we will use primary and secondary sources to analyze how race and citizenship have functioned for populations of color in the United States. We will examine events in U.S. history and consider how citizenship shapes the histories of various groups as well as the writing of their histories.

This has been designated and designed as a writing-intensive course and carries the Writing Flag. As such, writing will be a significant part of the workload for this course, and the bulk of your grade will be determined by your writing.

This course has also been designed to promote critical thinking and engagement, thus the remainder of your grade will be based on your engagement with course materials in class. Accordingly, attendance is mandatory.

Required Readings

George Lipsitz, *The Possessive Investment in Whiteness* (2006 edition)

Tim Wise, *Color-Blind*

A packet of required readings will be available at Jenn's on Guadalupe at Dean Keaton.

A few required readings will be available on Blackboard.

The bulk of the reading for this course will be materials you collect for your research project.

Class Environment

This class requires thoughtful discussion of the assigned readings as well as reflection on your research topic, those of your classmates, and related readings. You are expected to show respect for and thoughtful consideration of issues discussed in class. We will be working collaboratively throughout the semester to understand the complex issues raised by the readings, in-class activities, and your research project.

Policies

Grading: A grade of C denotes basic fulfillment of all course requirements. Grades of A and B are given for original work that exceeds the course requirements, with an A denoting outstanding achievement. A grade of D will be given to work that attempts to meet the requirements but misses specified details. Work that does not fulfill the requirements of the course will be graded F. Plus and minus grades will be issued for this course. An 87 must be earned for a B+, an 83 will designate a B-, and so on. No incompletes will be given in this course. **Any act of scholastic dishonesty will result in an F for the course and referral to Student Judicial Services.**

Your grade for the course will be based on the following:

<u>Assignment</u>	<u>due date</u>	<u>% of grade</u>
Primary source analysis	3/1	10
Research proposal	3/8	10
Paper (including draft and process)	4/19 (draft), 5/3 (final)	50
Attendance and participation	weekly	30

Primary source analysis: (5 pages) This analysis of 2-3 primary sources, using secondary sources for context, will assure me that you have done the basic primary research necessary to succeed with your project. This assignment is worth 10% of your final grade.

Research proposal: (5 pages) This proposal will outline the background on your topic, provide your revised definition of citizenship, the key questions you will answer with your research and list 10 key sources, including a minimum of five primary sources.

Paper, including draft and process: (14-16 pages) Your final paper is due the last day of class and is worth 50% of your final grade. Included in this is a grade for your **draft, due April 19**, and your written feedback to your research/writing group members on their drafts. **The draft is a complete paper, spell-checked, with proper citations and bibliography. Drafts that do not meet these standards will be marked down, affecting the final grade on the paper.** Comments from the professor and classmates will focus on argument, organization, flow, etc. Your final paper will be 14-16 pages in length using Chicago-style footnotes and bibliography (bibliography and footnotes not included in page count).

I encourage you to use the Undergraduate Writing Center, FAC 211, 471-6222, <http://www.uwc.fac.utexas.edu/> for free, individualized help by appointment or on a drop-in basis. This is a good place to get feedback on your work before sharing it with us.

All assignments should be typed and double-spaced. You should come to class every week prepared to talk about progress on your project, particularly how the readings are advancing your thinking on race and citizenship in U.S. history.

Attendance and participation:

Throughout the semester you will be required to turn in assignments related to your final paper (e.g. research questions, in-class writing, etc.). These assignments will be factored into your participation grade. More importantly, these assignments are building blocks for your research project and a valuable opportunity to receive feedback as you progress.

Class discussions, group work, and scheduled meetings will be a significant part of the course. You are expected to be on time for class and meetings. If you are consistently late, or leave early, this will affect your final course grade. These activities and the credit associated with them cannot be made up. Since the class only meets once a week, each class a week of work. Your productive participation in your research/writing group is critical to the success of your group members. **If you miss the equivalent of two weeks of class, your final grade will drop one letter grade. If you miss the equivalent of three weeks of class, you cannot pass. Attendance is mandatory.**

On February 15, we will have a customized session at the PCL. We will Doodle a time for a customized session at the Briscoe Center for American History during the week of February 21-25. I will offer a handful of possible times and will schedule our session at the time most

students can attend. The Briscoe Center closes at 5 p.m., so we cannot do it during class time. If you cannot adjust your schedule to attend, you will need to drop the class. **The PCL and Briscoe Center sessions are both requirements. If you do not attend these sessions, in their entirety, you cannot pass the class.**

Academic integrity: Scholastic dishonesty includes, but is not limited to, cheating on assignments or examinations; plagiarizing, which means misrepresenting as your own work any part of work done by another; submitting the same paper, or substantially similar papers, to meet requirements of more than one course without the approval and consent of all instructors concerned; depriving another student of necessary course materials; or interfering with another student's work. **Any act of scholastic dishonesty will result in an F for the course and referral to Student Judicial Services.**

Use of Technology in the Classroom: Laptops, cell phones, iPads and iPods are all great tools. However, their use is not allowed in our classroom. If you are texting during class, not only are you not participating, you are distracting others from doing so. This will affect your participation grade. In addition, you may be asked to leave if you are a repeat offender of this most basic courtesy. You will be counted as absent.

Communication: Course information and updates will be available via Blackboard. **It is your responsibility to keep your UT contact information current and check your e-mail and Blackboard regularly.**

Appropriate Accommodation: Accommodation will be provided for students with disabilities that have been documented by the UT Services for Students with Disabilities. If you have a disability requiring accommodation, **please notify me at the beginning of the course. Accommodations take time to implement.**

Religious Holy Days: According to UT policy, you must notify me of your pending absence at least 14 days prior to the date of observance of a religious holy day. If you must miss a class or assignment to observe a religious holy day, you will be given an opportunity to complete the missed work within a reasonable time after the absence.

My approach: In my many years as a student, I learned the most from professors who had high expectations. I pass that along to you. I teach based on the assumption that you are here to learn. I do not spew information at you to have it spewed back at me. Rather, I provide puzzle pieces in the form of lectures, readings, discussions, films, etc. Your job is to illustrate **what you discover** by putting these puzzle pieces together. You will do this in writing the majority of the time. Writing well takes practice. I provide guidelines throughout this syllabus and in class on a regular basis to help you succeed in my class. Successful students make good use of these materials and the support offered through office hours and the Undergraduate Writing Center *throughout the semester.*

Useful Websites

National Archives: <http://www.archives.gov>

Library of Congress: <http://www.memory.loc.gov>

Congressional Documents: <http://memory.loc.gov/ammem/amlaw/lawhome.html>

Making of America: <http://quod.lib.umich.edu/m/moagrp/> and

<http://cdl.library.cornell.edu/moa/>

Documenting the American South: <http://docsouth.unc.edu>

<http://www.dictionary.law.com/>

Center for American History: <http://www.cah.utexas.edu/>
LBJ Library and Museum: <http://www.lbjlib.utexas.edu/>
Ransom Center: <http://www.hrc.utexas.edu/>

Course Schedule
(subject to change)

1/18 **Introduction to the Course**

1/18 race and citizenship

1/25 **Asking Good Questions**

Reading: Lipsitz, Introduction-Chapter 6
Preliminary research, using websites above, on legislation, event, treaty, or topic that interests you

2/1 **Primary and Secondary Sources**

Reading: Lipsitz, Chapter 7-end
Preliminary **library** research on your topic

2/8 **The “Post-Racial” United States?**

Reading: Wise
Writing: **Research idea due** (2-3 pages, informed by readings and research)

2/15 **Library Research, Analyzing and Assessing Sources**

Reading: Birthright Citizenship Series and Immigration Series
Writing: **Definition of Citizenship due** (2-3 pages, informed by readings to date)
5:00 Meet in the lobby of Perry Castañeda Library
5:00-6:00 presentation
6:00-6:30 independent library work
6:35 reconvene in 103 Parlin with a source you found at the library to discuss
Reminder: **This is a required class session. If you do not attend, you cannot pass the class.**

2/22 **Sterilization of Women of Color in the United States**

Reading: Torpy, Briggs, Gutierrez, Fields-Meyer
TBD Meet in the lobby of the Briscoe Center for American History, Sid Richardson Hall for presentation by Mr. John Wheat, archivist
Reminder: **This is a required class session. If you do not attend, you cannot pass the class.**

3/1 **Men of Color in U.S. wars**

Reading: Acuña, Holm, Terry, Trujillo
Writing: **Primary Source Analysis due**

3/8 **Racial Formations, the United States in the World**

Reading: Supreme Court Series, Omi and Winant
Writing: **Research Proposal Due**

SPRING BREAK

3/22 **Writing the Research Paper: Developing a Thesis**

Reading: Writing Series

Writing: **Thesis duue**

3/29 **Writing the Research Paper**

No class, work week

4/5 **Documenting Your Research: Citations, Footnotes, Bibliography**

Writing: **Introduction due** (2-3 pages)

Bring copy for each member of your research/ writing group and Prof. Mtz.

4/12 **Writing the Research Paper**

No class, work week

4/19 **Revising the Research Paper**

Writing: **Draft due** (14-16 pages plus footnotes and bibliography)

Bring copy for each member of your research/ writing group and Prof. Mtz.

4/25-29 **Revising the Research Paper**

4/25-29 no class, writing group meetings with Prof. Martínez

Come with written feedback on drafts and completed review forms.

5/3 **Surviving the Research Paper**

Writing: **Research Paper due** (14-16 pages plus footnotes and bibliography)

There is no final exam in this class.

Bibliography

- Acuña, Rodolfo. "World War II: The Betrayal of Promises." *Occupied America: A History of Chicanos*, fifth edition. New York: Pearson/Longman, 2003, 241-270.
- Briggs, Laura. "The Politics of Sterilization, 1973-1974." *Reproducing Empire: Race, Sex, Science and U.S. Imperialism in Puerto Rico*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 142-161.
- Fields-Meyer, Thomas and Steve Helling. "Sterilized by the State, A Woman Fights Back." *People Magazine* 18 August 2003.
- Gutiérrez, Elena. "Policing 'Pregnant Pilgrims': Situating the Sterilization Abuse of Mexican-Origin Women in Los Angeles County." Georgina Feldberg, Molly Ladd Taylor, Alison Li and Kathryn McPherson, editors. *Women, Health and Nation: Canada and the United States since 1945*. Montreal: McGill-Queen's University Press, 2003.
- Holm, Tom. *Strong Hearts, Wounded Souls: Native American Veterans of the Vietnam War*. Austin: University of Texas Press, 1996.
- Omi, Michael and Howard Winant. *Racial Formation in the United States: From the 1960s to the 1990s*. New York: Routledge, 1994.
- Sommerville, Siobhan. "Notes toward a Queer History of Naturalization." *American Quarterly* 57:3 (2005), 659-675.
- Terry, Wallace, ed. *Bloods: An Oral History of the Vietnam War by Black Veterans*. New York: Random House, 1984.
- Torpy, Sally J. "Native American Women and Coerced Sterilization." *American Indian Culture and Research Journal* 24:2 (2000), 1-22.
- Trujillo, Charley, ed. *Soldados: Chicanos in Viet Nam*. San Jose, California: Chusma House Publications, 1990.

Professor Martínez's Grading Guidelines

A A paper *excellent* in over-all quality

- 1.) has a clearly stated thesis or aim of exceptional interest.
- 2.) is well-organized to present that thesis or aim.
- 3.) shows that the student has read carefully and developed original responses to the readings.
- 4.) uses examples and evidence persuasively in support of analysis.
- 5.) has no mechanical errors or grammatical problems to interfere with comprehension.
- 6.) has appropriately and completely documented use of sources using Chicago-style footnotes.

B A paper *good or very good* in over-all quality

- 1.) has a clearly stated thesis or aim.
- 2.) is adequately organized to present that thesis or aim.
- 3.) shows that the student has read carefully, although responses may be inconsistently developed and may not be original.
- 4.) uses examples and evidence competently to support analysis.
- 5.) has few mechanical errors or grammatical problems, that do no interfere with comprehension.
- 6.) has documented use of sources using Chicago-style footnotes, with minor errors.

C A paper *fair* in over-all quality

- 1.) includes a statement of thesis or aim.
- 2.) is for the most part logically organized.
- 3.) shows that the student has done the reading. Comprehension may be faulty and/or arguments undeveloped.
- 4.) uses examples and evidence but these do not support analysis persuasively.
- 5.) has several mechanical errors or grammatical problems that do not interfere with comprehension.
- 6.) has incompletely or inconsistently documented sources using Chicago-style footnotes.

D A *unacceptable* paper

- 1.) attempts unsuccessfully to state a thesis or aim.
- 2.) is poorly, even illogically, organized.
- 3.) suggests that the student has read only superficially, or perhaps not at all.
- 4.) uses few or poor examples and evidence, or uses them in a confused way.
- 5.) has mechanical errors and grammatical problems that interfere with comprehension.
- 6.) has not demonstrated the appropriate documentation of sources using Chicago-style footnotes.

E A *failing* paper

- 1.) fails to state a thesis or aim.
- 2.) has no discernable organization.
- 3.) does not reflect on, or reflect accurately the reading.
- 4.) uses no examples or evidence, or uses them in a confused way.
- 5.) has mechanical errors and grammatical problems that interfere with comprehension.
- 6.) Has failed to document sources appropriately using Chicago-style footnotes.

Professor Martínez's Rules by Which to Live

- **Follow the directions.** Answer the question. Do the minimum required if you want a C. A and B students use a range of sources and use them as evidence to support their claims.
- **HAVE A TITLE!** Your title should hint at the thesis, not just name the subject.

THESIS

- **HAVE A THESIS!** A thesis does not summarize what you are about to do. *The thesis should be arguable, not a simple fact or an unsubstantiated statement of personal opinion.* Your thesis is the purpose of the essay; it is what you are trying to convince your reader to believe. As you study your material, develop a position. This should be clearly presented in the introduction. **Highlight or underline your thesis.**

Compare the beginning of the paper with the end. Make sure that you make the same argument all the way through. Your paper may develop in an unexpected direction as you write, producing a more sophisticated idea than the one with which you began. Reread your paper to make sure all the paragraphs are in harmony with the new idea.

EVIDENCE

- **Have evidence for your argument.** Only use quotations that you can discuss. In a short paper, you will have to select only the very best from a lot of great evidence. When rereading your paper, make sure it is *your* voice and *your* arguments that are clearest in each paragraph, and not the voices and arguments of the authors you are citing. **Your words, not quotes, should begin and end paragraphs.** You always set the tone of the paragraph and get the last word.
- **Integrate sources.** Bring a variety of sources into conversation with each other. Do not summarize films or readings. We are quite familiar with them. Instead, use sources to support your claim. The more you *connect* varied sources, the more evident your analysis will be.
- **Cite your sources.** Any time you use a source, it must be cited in your paper and you must have a footnote. As historians, we use *Chicago-Style* footnotes. We will go over this in class. Pay attention. Take notes. See:
http://www.chicagomanualofstyle.org/tools_citationguide.html
- **Never use more than THREE quotations in a single paragraph.** Follow the quote with an explanation of how it relates to your argument, rather than assume its meaning is self-evident. Do not use big block quotes, and never end a paragraph with an un-analyzed quote.
- Quotes longer than 3 lines should be indented 1/2" on the left and single-spaced. Your words must contextualize and explain the relevance of any quote.
- A good quote is stated in a unique way or captures an unusual perspective. Do not quote, but still cite, when it easily can be put in your own words. If you fail to acknowledge the source of your information or ideas, you are guilty of **PLAGIARISM**, a serious academic offense, **which will result in an F for the course and referral to Student Judicial Services.**

PARAGRAPHS

- **Your words, not quotes, should begin and end paragraphs.** You set the tone of the paragraph and you get the last word. I realize this is stated above. It bears repeating.
- **Each paragraph should develop a single point.** Think of a paragraph as a mini-paper. In the first sentence or two of each paragraph, tell the reader what the point is, making sure it ties back to your larger argument. In the following sentences, examine that point and back it up with data from your sources. It is never enough to state a point without analyzing it. Explain why that point is important, and how it is linked to the rest of the paper.

GENERAL GUIDELINES FOR STRONG ACADEMIC WRITING

- **Write an introduction.** The introduction should present a map of what you will argue. Set the stage: time, place, and broad ideas you will consider. It should be intriguing.
- **Write a conclusion.** Sometimes students get tired when they reach the suggested length of the paper, and they just stop. Keep going! Re-assert your thesis, summarize your points, and exit gracefully. **Then, revisit your introduction to confirm that it is in agreement with your conclusion.**
- **Do not express beliefs or opinions.** Use facts and evidence you can document. Personal experience is factual evidence, but use it carefully and judiciously. It must complement course material, not replace it.
- **Use strong, convincing language.** “I think,” “I believe,” and “I hope” *are not* convincing. I argue, I demonstrate, I show *are* definitive statements.
- **Avoid judgmental language or language that assumes your reader’s position on the topic.** “Absurd,” “outrageous,” and “of course” violate this basic rule.
- **Use past tense.** This is history; it already happened. Write your paper with that in mind. When you refer to an author, you may use present tense: “Acuña suggests...”
- **Know your problems:** word choice, spelling, grammar, and style. Do not repeat them in subsequent assignments.
- **Do not use slang, contractions or informal language in your writing.** Using such language in quotes, or using the terms authors use is appropriate.
- **Never, ever turn in something that has not been spell-checked and proofread.**

Your tuition dollars pay for the Undergraduate Writing Center. Use it!

<http://uwc.utexas.edu/> 211 FAC, 471-6222 M-Th, 9-7; F, 9-3

Go early and often! They will help you develop your argument, outline your paper, and polish your conclusion. They send me an e-mail each time you visit, which I keep in mind when I determine final grades.

Avoiding Plagiarism in History Courses

Plagiarism is one of the most prevalent and serious forms of scholastic dishonesty occurring at this university. When detected, plagiarism is likely to leave permanent effects upon a student's academic record and career prospects. Faculty members and Student Judicial Services assess academic penalties for this transgression ranging from failure in the course to expulsion from the University. **This handout is designed to help you understand what plagiarism is--and to help you avoid committing it.**

The University's Institutional Rules (Section 11-802(d)) define plagiarism as including, "but not limited to, the appropriation of, buying, receiving as a gift, or obtaining by any other means material that is attributable in whole or in part to another source...and presenting that material as one's own academic work offered for credit." This definition is legalistic and wordy, but in courses at this university it comes down to this: **plagiarism is handing in someone else's work and taking credit for it as if it were your own.**

When a student is charged with plagiarism, it is irrelevant to the University whether the transgression was intentional or inadvertent. **The student who commits plagiarism through carelessness is just as accountable as the student who sets out to deceive.**

Opportunities for plagiarism in history courses often arise from a paradox that is embedded in our discipline: we require that your work be your own, but historical scholarship is inherently communal and cumulative. Historians are expected to engage and build upon the work of their colleagues and predecessors--and they are expected to be "original" at the same time.

Plagiarism in history courses can take many forms. Copying another person's published or unpublished writing word-for-word without quotation marks and without attribution is the most obvious and blatant instance. Plagiarism also includes paraphrasing another writer's ideas too closely or without adequate attribution. (See examples below.) There is also an infraction known as "self-plagiarism": it is an offense against University rules to turn in the same work for credit more than once unless all involved instructors consent in advance.

The development of Internet search engines, the process of downloading, and the ease of cutting and pasting text on a computer as you write successive drafts have made plagiarism--whether intentional or inadvertent--much easier to commit. (Of course these same search engines have also made plagiarism much easier for an instructor to detect.) **The process of copying material from one or more websites, rearranging it to suit your purposes, and pasting this concoction into your drafts does not make it "your" work. However artfully done, it is simply an innovative form of plagiarism.**

How do you avoid committing plagiarism? Here are some basic tips:

1. Historians use a variety of citation formats, including footnotes, endnotes, and indicating their source directly in their text within parentheses. Within those formats, they use a variety of styles. Ask your instructor for guidance on a uniform system of citations--and follow that advice.
2. Take notes carefully. Whenever you copy a direct quotation, protect yourself by putting quotation marks around it. Attach a full, accurate citation to any borrowed passage, whether quoted or paraphrased, and keep it attached as you write.

3. Although borrowed ideas must be fully acknowledged in a citation, you do not need to provide a citation for information that your reader can reasonably be expected to know. When in doubt, include a citation.

4. You can avoid plagiarism by learning how to paraphrase. It is much easier to avoid plagiarism that involves verbatim copying or handing in the same paper twice than it is to avoid plagiarism that involves paraphrase, probably the trickiest area of all. Certainly it is the area where most instances of plagiarism occur.

A paraphrase is borrowed text digested and rewritten in one's own words for one's own purposes. Because the thought is expressed in your own words, you do not encase it in quotation marks. But because the thought is borrowed, its source must be acknowledged. Students often run into trouble on both counts: they paraphrase without attribution, and they stay too close to the wording and structure of the borrowed text. Simply moving your source's words around and inserting a few of your own is not acceptable; it is still plagiarism.

To help you master the difference between acceptable and unacceptable paraphrase, here is a statement written by a historian, followed by three examples of how a student might use it. Only the third example is not an instance of plagiarism.

Original passage: *"Revising interpretations of the past is intrinsic to the study of history. But no part of the American experience has, in the last twenty-five years, seen a broadly accepted point of view so completely overturned as Reconstruction--the violent, dramatic, and still controversial era that followed the Civil War. Since the early 1960s, a profound alteration of the place of blacks within American society, newly uncovered evidence, and changing definitions of history itself have combined to transform our understanding of race relations, politics, and economic change during Reconstruction."*¹

¹Eric Foner, *Reconstruction: America's Unfinished Revolution* (New York: Harper & Row, 1988), xix.

Example 1: *Revisionism is inherent in historical scholarship, but in the last generation no phase of American history has undergone a more drastic transformation in the way it is understood by historians than Reconstruction, the period of political, social, and economic upheaval after the Civil War. This revised interpretation is the result of new evidence, new modes of historical scholarship, and the altered status of African-Americans in the United States in the last half-century.*

This is plagiarism because the content and structure of the passage are obviously taken from Foner's passage without citation.

Example 2: *According to Eric Foner, changing interpretations of the past are intrinsic in the writing of history. But he believes that no period in American history has witnessed a widely accepted understanding so drastically overturned in the last quarter century as Reconstruction--the tumultuous and bloody period following the Civil War. Over the last forty years, a profound change in the place of blacks in our society, newly discovered evidence, and new understandings of history itself have converged to alter our understanding of race relations and the political and economic changes that occurred during Reconstruction.*¹

¹Eric Foner, *Reconstruction: America's Unfinished Revolution* (New York: Harper & Row, 1988), xix.

This example is also plagiarism but of a somewhat different sort. Although it cites its source, it still is unacceptable because it, too, tracks the structure and language of Foner's writing too closely.

Example 3: *Eric Foner begins his magisterial book with the point--by now something of a commonplace--that the upheaval in Reconstruction historiography in the late twentieth century was in part a result of the civil rights movement.*¹

¹Eric Foner, *Reconstruction: America's Unfinished Revolution* (New York: Harper & Row, 1988), xix.

This paraphrase is acceptable because, in its own "digested" words and with its own viewpoint and purpose, it summarizes Foner's point and it supplies a full citation.

5. Read more about what plagiarism is and how to avoid it--and see more examples of it--at the Student Judicial Services website:
<http://deanofstudents.utexas.edu/sjs/academicintegrity.html>

Department of History
University of Texas at Austin
August 31, 2005

Any act of plagiarism will result in an F for the course and referral to Student Judicial Services.