

**The University of Texas At Austin
School of Social Work**

**General Social Welfare Policy Analysis
Social Problems & Social Welfare Policy Analysis
(MSSW Policy II)**

Course Number:	SW N392P	Instructor:	Noël Bridget Busch
Unique Number:	96000	Office Number:	3.130D
Semester:	Summer 2002	Contact Information:	471-3198 nbusch@mail.utexas.edu
Meeting Time/Place:	Tues. & Thurs. 5:30 – 8:00 pm SW 2.132	Office Hours:	Tuesdays 4 – 5:30 pm & by appointment

I. Standardized Course Description

This course focuses on the process of public and private development, including identification, selection, implementation, and evaluation. The course will include modules on process, problems, and programs specific to the concentration areas in the MSSW program. By the end of the semester students should be able to apply their knowledge of the social policy analysis process to selected policy issues related to administration and planning.

II. Standardized Course Objectives

Upon completion of this course the students will be able to:

1. Critically evaluate the process of social welfare policy development at state and federal levels;
2. Research and utilize alternative frameworks for analyzing the development of social welfare policy;
3. Utilize frameworks for evaluating and analyzing the effects of social welfare policy;
4. Analyze contemporary issues in the development (and analysis) of social welfare policy;
5. Critically evaluate selected issues in health, mental health, substance abuse, disability, child welfare, income maintenance, gender or race policy;
6. Apply the underlying causes of social problems to the design of social welfare policy with a special emphasis on the causes and consequences of poverty;
7. Assess how the structure of current social welfare policies affects men, women, members of various ethnic groups, people of various ages, and other population groups;
8. Develop a plan for influencing social welfare policy as part of each social worker's commitment to promote social and economic justice.

III. Teaching Methods

The primary teaching approach in this course will be collaborative learning. Material in the course will be presented through a variety of teaching strategies. For example, case studies, discussions, videos, small group work, field trips, new

articles, examinations, readings, and lectures will be considered. Videos will be used as tools for addressing key concepts in the course. The goal is to stimulate critical thinking, intellectual creativity, and sharing of knowledge and skills with and through the class. Students will be responsible for material presented through all these activities. Assigned readings are for the week in which they are listed and students should complete the readings prior to class and be prepared to discuss them. However, the instructor may not review all assigned readings in class.

IV. Required Textbooks

Conley, D. (1999). Being Black, Living in the Red: Race, Wealth, and Social Policy in America. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.

Dash, L. (1997). Rosa Lee: A Mother and Her Family in Urban America. New York, NY: Plume.

Kozol, J. (1995). Amazing Grace. New York, NY: Perennial Press.

Other required readings will be distributed or put on reserve at the LRC during the semester.

V. Course Requirements

The final course grade will be determined based on scores of three (3) criteria that are designed to measure how well each student meets the course objectives. The additional information for completing each assignment is included later in the syllabus or will be distributed by the instructor later in the semester.

1. Participation, preparedness, and contribution to other's learning	10
2. Comparison Paper of Kozol & Dash books	40
3. Group project	<u>50</u>
	100

VI. Grading Scale

A = 90 – 100 points
 B = 80 – 89.9 points
 C = 70 – 79.9 points
 F = < 70

VII. Students with Disabilities or Special Learning Considerations

Please let me know if you have a learning and/or another disability and if you require special accommodations. I am interested in this class being a productive learning experience for everybody.

The University of Texas at Austin provides, upon request, appropriate academic accommodations for qualified students with disabilities. Any student with a documented disability (physical or cognitive) who requires academic accommodations should contact the Services for Students with Disabilities area

of the Office of the Dean of Students at 471-6259 (voice) or 471-4641 (TTY for users who are deaf or hard of hearing) as soon as possible to request an official letter outlining authorized accommodations.

VIII. Course Policies

1. Students are expected to attend **ALL** class meetings, to read **ALL** the assigned readings, and to participate in class discussions.
2. There are no “excused” absences. Students missing more than two (2) class sessions will receive a 10% reduction in their overall course grade. Students missing three (3) or more class sessions may receive an “F” for the course. The instructor may use her discretion. As soon as you know that you will not be attending class, please let me know.
3. Assignments are to be submitted according to the schedule. All late assignments will receive a **three (3) point penalty per day** (weekend days will be included). All assignments are due at the beginning of the class period—assignments turned in after the beginning of class will be considered late.
4. The Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association (APA) is the style manual to be used for all assignments. Incorrect APA style will result a deduction of points on assignments.
5. Appropriate referencing is required. Failure to use quotation marks for direct quotes or citation for indirect quotations and/or others ideas may result in a “0” for the paper or an “F” for the course.
6. All papers are to be word-processed or typewritten, double-spaced, 10 – 12 point font, and “normal” margins.
7. University policies governing scholastic dishonesty, as presented in the General Information Bulletin and the brochure prepared by Student for Academic Integrity apply in this course. Scholastic dishonesty may result in a report to the Undergraduate Program Director, the Dean of the School of Social Work, and/or the Dean of Students. Students may receive an “F” for the course and other sanctions in accordance with University policies.

XIII. Explanation of Assignments

1. Participation, preparedness, and contribution to other’s learning

Students will be evaluated throughout the semester on their individual contributions to class discussion through preparedness (prior reading and thinking on the topics) and readiness and willingness to discuss topics in class. The expectation is that in all assignments the professor and students will perform at her/his/their highest levels and at a level commensurate with quality graduate study, teaching, and scholarship. In addition, this course provides students the opportunity of on-going discussions, deliberations, negotiations, and learning from others that is involved in policy analysis and practice.

We will start every class period with a current policy check-in. Students should clip newspaper and/or magazine articles or summarize news coverage of topics relevant our topic and/or readings for the day and social policy.

2. Comparison Paper of the Kozol & Dash Books

Students will write a 10 -12 page comparison reaction paper using the Dash and Kozol books. The paper should be social problem and social policy focused.

Therefore students should address the following:

- a. What are the common themes that these stories share? (Framework should be a social problems and policy focus)
- b. Were there stark differences? What were they?
- c. How does an ecological framework for understanding these individuals, families, communities look similar or different? Be thorough.
- d. Do you view Rosa Lee and her children differently or the same as the families in Amazing Grace? Why or why not? Explain.
- e. Revisit the concepts of “worthy and “unworthy.” Discuss and explain.
- f. What programs or provisions would you develop in order to reduce or ameliorate one of the problems you identified above? Be creative and specific. Would you expect the same program to work in the DC community as the Bronx community? Why or why not? Explain.

3. Group Project and Presentation

Group members will be required to work collaboratively with each other and with the Center for Public Policy Priorities on an issue that is locally relevant to a Texas community. The issues will be determined by the Center and discussed in class. The Center will use the group papers to prepare for the opening of the 78th Texas legislative session. Preparation of a 20 – 25 page paper is required. This report should be formally represented to Center staff after the approval of the instructor. Center staff may modify following outline. Students should meet with their staff representative on at least two occasions, but should consult with their staff representative throughout the project.

The paper should cover the following topics:

1. Nature and scope of the issue. Define the issue(s); number of people affected or institutions affected; economic and social consequences of the issue. How widespread is the problem? Who specifically is affected and how? What are the known or suspected causes of the issues/problem? Are other localities, communities, states, countries facing a similar issue? What are they doing about it?
2. Historical background on the problem. What are the historical antecedents of the problem/issue and what policies were developed previously? How important has the problem/issue been addressed by policy? How has the problem been previously handled? What is the legislative, judicial, administrative, and/or broader social economic, political, etc., history of the issue in Texas. What recent events led to this policy agenda item?
3. Description of policy. What policies have been being proposed and who is proposing them? Students should identify a policy and program related to the social problem identified. One policy or provision should come from the legislative agenda considered by the 77th Texas Legislature. A program or agency policy should also be identified and discussed. (Look for organizations that may serve the indented population.) How is the policy intended to work? On what knowledge of scientific grounding, if any, does the policy rest? What resources and/or opportunities is the policy expected to provide (economic opportunity, cash, in-kind services, redistribution of goods

and services, empowerment, status, prevention services, education, etc.). How will implement the policy? What are the short and long-term indented consequences? What funding is needed? Under what administrative will the policy be developed and implemented? What provisions are made for overseeing, evaluating, and coordinating policy outcomes? What criteria are being used in determining the effectiveness of the policy outcomes? How long is the policy expected to exist (is there a specific requirement for review and continuation)?

4. Involvement of social workers in dealing with the issue. Is social work, as a profession, directly or indirectly (locally, nationally, internationally) involved?
5. Stakeholders. Who are the major stakeholders involved in this issue—how are they involved? What do they say should happen to address the issue? Identify proponents and opponents, if appropriate.
6. Policy Analysis. Organize and present this section using one of the models studied in class. Students should choose one of the following models discussed in class; Burch, Chambers, Dobelstein, Jasson, Chamber, Gilbert and Terrell or DiNitto or self-identified model. You may need to do additional reading and evaluation of the models. Students should justify why they choose the model. (This means give a rationale for your choice by discussing criteria that the model included that you considered important.)
7. Conclusions and Recommendations. What are your recommendations regarding the legislative policy or proposed policy now that you have analyzed using one of the models? Would you suggest modifications? If so, what are they? How would you improve?

It is expected that this paper will be completed with the highest academic standards that includes organization and presentation, quality writing, content, and critical and creative analysis. Drafts and rewrites will be required. See the course calendar for due dates and criteria for grading written assignments. In order to complete this assignment groups should collect information through literature review, Internet searches, agency visits, in-person or telephone interviews, etc. where appropriate.

Papers are worth 30 of the assignment. Drafts are due on July 18th and final papers are due on July 25th.

Groups should also prepare for an in-class presentation of 45 minutes, followed by 15 minutes of questions. (Use your time wisely—you need to over all the relevant outline points—practice not being too brief or lengthy—after 45 minutes groups will be asked to wrap-up and take questions.) **Every group member is required to present relevant material during the presentation.** Each group should prepare handouts, visuals, or use technology. (Let me know what equipment you may require.) Be creative by using a clip of a relevant video (should not exceed 5 - 8 minutes), a case study, an agenda, charts, graphs, interviews with stakeholders or when appropriate, a member of the intended population, etc. **Presentations will be scheduled during the last three class periods of the semester.**

During the in-class presentation, groups should also prepare and distribute
 (1) a bibliography of academic resources on the topic.
 (2) a list of community resources.

(3) other relevant handouts.

These handouts can be taken from the group paper.

Group presentations will be scheduled for July 18th, 23rd, and 30th. Group presentations are worth 20 points.

Group members will receive one grade therefore if someone is not contributing to the group's work, I need to know earlier rather than later. Groups will be limited to 5 or 6 students.

See criteria for grading written work and group presentations.

IX. Criteria for Evaluation of Written Work

1. Completeness and thoroughness

How fully has the issue been addressed? When appropriate, has the issue been sufficiently researched using library resources, interview, and other means in order to gain differing perspectives and full knowledge of the issue? Has the theoretical and empirical literature on the subject been incorporated in the paper? Does the paper leave the reader with the impression that major questions have been considered, or are important issues left unaddressed? Has the paper been carefully proofread?

2. Organization and clarity

Is the paper well written and organized in a logical manner? Are there appropriate transitions between sentences, paragraphs, and sections? Are the sentence structure, syntax, and grammar of appropriate quality? Have headings and subheading been used to improve organization? Has the student addressed issues in a way that can be understood by someone unfamiliar with the issues as well as by someone knowledgeable about the issues?

3. Referencing

Are there a sufficient number of appropriate references? Has referencing been done appropriately and according to the style of the American Psychological Association? Are the references well integrated in the text? Were the references obtained from various sources (scholarly, Internet, interviews, etc.)? Are short direct quotations noted in quotation marks; are longer direct quotations indented, and are page numbers indicated for all direct quotations? Are indirect quotes paraphrased sufficiently? Were interviews of those who are involved with the creation or setting of policy and those impacted by the policy included in the analytical discussion of the policy?

4. Originality and Creativity

Have different viewpoints been compared and contrasted in a way that shows that the writer has a thorough understanding of the issues? Has the writer used his or her analytical skills in a way that suggests more than a restatement of what others have said about this issue? Has the student suggested points that have not been addressed by others?

IX. Course Calendar

Day & Date	Chapter & Lecture Topics	Readings	Assignments
Tuesday, June 6			
Thursday, June 11	Introduction	Dash, first half	
Tuesday, June 13	Introduction Definitions of Policy	Conley, Chapter 1	
Thursday, June 18	Ecological Perspective	Conley, Chapter 2	
Tuesday, June 20	Social Problems Approach	Dash, second half	Discussion of Dash book
Thursday, June 25	History Perspective Values Perspective	Kozol, first half	Group Work
Tuesday, June 27	Models	Conley, Chapter 3	Group Work
Thursday, July 2	Models	Kozol, second half	Discussion of Kozol
Tuesday, July 4			Holiday—No Class
Thursday, July 9	Models	Conley, Chapter 4 & 5	Assignment # 2 Due Group Work
Tuesday, July 11			6 Week Session Exams—No Class
Thursday, July 16	Special Topic	Conley, Chapter 6	Drafts Due to Instructor Group Work
Tuesday, July 18	Special Topic		Drafts Due to Center
Thursday, July 23			Group Presentations
Tuesday, July 25			Group Presentations
Thursday, July 30			Final Papers Due Group Presentations

Participation, Preparedness, and Contribution to Other's Learning
SSW 392 Social Policy
Summer 2001

Student Name _____
Grade Assigned _____

Criteria:

Students will be evaluated throughout the semester on their individual contributions to class discussion through preparedness (prior reading and thinking on the topics) and readiness and willingness to discuss topics in class. The expectation is that in all assignments the professor and students will perform at her/his/their highest levels and at a level commensurate with quality graduate study, teaching, and scholarship. In addition, this course provides students the opportunity of on-going discussions, deliberations, negotiations, and learning from others that is involved in policy analysis and practice.

We will start every class with a current policy check-in. Students should clip newspaper or magazine articles or summarize news coverage of topics relevant our topic and/or readings for the day and social policy.

Comments:

I. Criteria for Evaluation of Written Work (See Syllabus)

1. Completeness and thoroughness (20%) (some criteria not applicable to this assignment)

Consistent throughout paper (Yes)	Consistent throughout most of paper (Mostly)	Not consistent throughout the paper--needs work (Not enough)	
			Issue fully addressed
			Sufficiently researched*
			Theoretical & empirical literature incorporated*
			Major questions have been addressed
			Carefully proofread

2. Organization and Clarity (20%) (some criteria not applicable to this assignment)

Consistent throughout paper (Yes)	Consistent throughout most of paper (Mostly)	Not consistent throughout the paper--needs work (Not Enough)	
			Well written & organized
			Appropriate transitions
			Sentence structure, syntax, and grammar
			Headings and subheadings
			Understandable to unfamiliar reader
			Understandable to familiar reader

3. Referencing (10%) (some criteria not applicable to this assignment)

Consistent throughout paper (Yes)	Consistent throughout most of paper (Mostly)	Not consistent throughout the paper--needs work (Not Enough)	
			Sufficient Number of References *
			APA style utilized
			References well integrated
			References from various sources *
			Correct use of quotations
			Indirect quotes paraphrased sufficiently
			Interviews included in analysis *

4. Originality and Creativity (30%) (some criteria not applicable to this assignment)

Consistent throughout paper (Yes)	Consistent throughout most of paper (Mostly)	Not consistent throughout the paper--needs work (Not Enough)	
			Different viewpoints compared and contrasted
			Use of own analytical skills not just restatement
			Suggested points not been addressed by others

Covered Assignment Outline Points A through G (20%)

_____ yes _____ no _____ points need more depth, explanation, or discussion

Criteria for Evaluating Group Presentations

	Inadequate Or Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent
Broad understanding of issue				
Recapitulation important points without reading summary points				
Thoughtfulness of analyzing the issue				
Useful of handouts & materials				
Creativity of presentation				
Use of appropriate literature and other information				
Handouts to class				
Follow syllabus instructions				
Overall presentation skills				